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I. Excellence Requires Diversity

People who are different from one another bring unique information and experiences

- Diverse groups are more innovative and creative \(^1\,^2\)
- Papers written by diverse groups have more citations and higher impact factors \(^3\)
- Diverse groups share more information with others in the group \(^4\)

Employee engagement is a validated predictor of organizational performance

Diverse workplaces with culturally competent workforces have the highest employee engagement \(^5\,^6\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>US CENSUS</th>
<th>ACADEMIC NURSING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>African American</strong></td>
<td>13.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic/Latinx</strong></td>
<td>18.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian</strong></td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White</strong></td>
<td>60.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td>49.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

American Association of Colleges of Nursing, 2019
BY THE NUMBERS

Nursing Representation at UCSF

Gender/race/ethnicity
UCSF School of Nursing faculty
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UCSF School of Nursing faculty by rank and underrepresented minority (URM) status

The UCSF definition of Underrepresented Minority is: Someone whose racial or ethnic makeup is from one of the following: African American / Black; Asian: Filipino, Hmong, or Vietnamese; Hispanic / Latinx; Native American / Alaskan Native; Native Hawaiian / Other Pacific Islander; or Two or more races when one or more are from the preceding racial and ethnic categories in this list.

In addition: Women in traditionally male dominated specialties; Males in traditionally female dominated specialties; and Historically marginalized individuals: LGBT, individuals with disabilities

![Bar chart comparing male and female URM representation in All Faculty and Full Professors]

Academic Affairs, 2021
Faculty Pipelines at UCSF

Female faculty pipeline, UCSF

- 49% of all faculty
- 54% Associate Professors
- 40% Full Professors
- 38% Department Chairs
- 40% Deans

October 2021

URM pipeline, UCSF

- Students 22%
- Residents 15%
- Postdocs 13%
- Faculty 11%
- Chairs 12%
- Deans 40%

August 2019
We recognize the connection between diversity and innovation. Our goal is to be the workplace of choice for diverse, top-tier talent.

- UCSF’s 2014-2015 Plan

II. Unconscious Bias May Impede Selecting The Best

“The greatest barrier to achieving gender equity in STEMM is systematic bias, frequently unconscious”
- National Academy of Sciences

Social stereotypes that individuals form outside of conscious awareness
- All of us hold unconscious beliefs about various social and identity groups
- Stems from our tendency to organize social worlds by categorizing
- Often incompatible with conscious values

We all have biases
Data we receive from others may be biased
Certain scenarios can activate unconscious stereotypes and attitudes

- Project Implicit: 75% of people have implicit biases or associations
- Unconscious biases tend to map to existing social hierarchies
  - Favor men, Whites, youth, heterosexuals, and physically able
- Men = Science; Women = Liberal Arts
  - E.g., Biases about rating of males and females on a math lab task was related to IAT sex-related beliefs
- Asian = Feminine; Black = Masculine
  - On the IAT, participants primed with the word Asian responded most quickly to words they had rated as more feminine whereas participants primed with the word Black responded most quickly to words they had rated as more masculine.
- Unconscious biases are more likely to emerge in certain situations, such as when multi-tasking or under time pressure (i.e., “high cognitive load”) 8,10

Seminal studies and contemporary research have shown that unconscious bias influences hiring, evaluation, and selection and perception of leaders

Evaluation of CVs
- Selection of “Brian over Karen” 2x as often 11
- 50% higher call back rate if named “Emily and Gregg” vs “Lakisha and Jamal” 12
  - Adewale and Ngochi (Black Africans) also seen as more employable than “Lakisha and Jamal” 13
- Whites & Hispanics benefit from quality resume; Blacks evaluated negatively even with quality resume
  - Occupational stereotypes: Asians high status regardless of resume, Blacks and Hispanics lower status
- “Whitened Resumes”: racial minorities’ attempts to downplay ethnic/racial cues
  - Less resume whitening if employer specifies valuing diversity 15

Evaluation of reference letters
- More “standout” adjectives for males 20
- Women’s letters shorter, contained more “doubt raisers” & focus on teaching; men as researchers 21
- More “communal” adjectives for women and “agentic” adjectives for men 22

Bias into academic pathways
- Faculty more likely to respond to research inquiry requests from fictional White male doctoral students than any other group 23
- When reviewing identical student resumes, research faculty rated men as more competent than women, with higher starting salaries 24

Leadership
- Women often overlooked for leadership potential
  - Men more likely to be valued for leadership potential
  - Women valued for demonstrated leadership performance 25
- Women in leadership penalized more often than men for displays of emotion, especially pride or anger.
  - However, women also penalized for being emotionally unexpressive e.g., not warm 26

Evaluation of contributions
- Women less likely to get credit for joint efforts 27
- Women who co-author more often less likely to receive tenure
  - Men receive tenure at similar rates regardless of solo or co-authorship 28
- Research topics/approaches more likely to be undertaken by URM viewed as peripheral to academic fields and devalued in advancement 29

Motherhood Penalty
- Mothers perceived as less competent; offered lower starting salaries 16,17 and less likely to be hired & promoted compared to fathers & employees without children 18
- Fathers not penalized; at times, there is a “fatherhood premium”
  - Fathers less likely to be laid off during Covid-19 than all other groups, including mothers and people without children 19

Evaluation of reference letters
- More “standout” adjectives for males 20
- Women’s letters shorter, contained more “doubt raisers” & focus on teaching; men as researchers 21
- More “communal” adjectives for women and “agentic” adjectives for men 22

Bias into academic pathways
- Faculty more likely to respond to research inquiry requests from fictional White male doctoral students than any other group 23
- When reviewing identical student resumes, research faculty rated men as more competent than women, with higher starting salaries 24

Leadership
- Women often overlooked for leadership potential
  - Men more likely to be valued for leadership potential
  - Women valued for demonstrated leadership performance 25
- Women in leadership penalized more often than men for displays of emotion, especially pride or anger.
  - However, women also penalized for being emotionally unexpressive e.g., not warm 26

Evaluation of contributions
- Women less likely to get credit for joint efforts 27
- Women who co-author more often less likely to receive tenure
  - Men receive tenure at similar rates regardless of solo or co-authorship 28
- Research topics/approaches more likely to be undertaken by URM viewed as peripheral to academic fields and devalued in advancement 29
Evaluation of contributions

NIH Review

- Black applicants 10% less likely than Whites to receive NIH investigator initiated research grants 30

Part of the disparity due to topic choice 31

- Black applicants more likely to be associated with topics like health disparities, disease prevention and intervention, socioeconomic factors, healthcare, lifestyle, psychosocial, adolescent, and risk

On the individual level

Enhance internal motivation to reduce bias

- Recognize unconscious bias (IAT)

Implicit bias is changeable 32

- Knowledge of bias can reduce its impacts
- New information can cause reinterpretation of scenario
- Priming has an effect: Can counter stereotypes
- Enhance perspective taking and communication skills
- Facilitated discussions with colleagues from diverse groups

On the institutional level

- Concrete, objective indicators & outcomes reduce standard stereotypes 33-35
- Decreasing ambiguity about individual contributions to joint outcome reduces bias in performance evaluation 30
- Use structured interviews and objective evaluation criteria 35,36
- Commit to specific credentials before reviewing applications 37
- Allow sufficient time as bias stronger when under time pressure 32,36,38
- Accountability for decision makers 39,40
- Provide training workshops 38

III. How to combat unconscious bias

Funding gap between Black and White scientists at each stage of the R01 application and review process
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